AM

<u>Uniti</u>	ed States Patent a	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATE P.O. BOX 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145 www.supro.gov	rademark Office NTS AND TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,472	08/29/2001	Katsuji Kimura	Q65962	4891
7590 05/27/2003 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, MINH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/940,472	KIMURA, KATSUJI	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh Nguyen	2816	+
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learned on the control of the control o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		

Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are still believe to be proper, and therefore, are maintained .